'orm 1

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD FOURTH DIVISION

Award No. 3030 Docket No. 3018

Referee Robert M. O'Brien

PARTIES

A. G. Augustine

TO

DISPUTE:

Reading Company

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

That the Carrier's unilateral action in taking Patrolman Albert G. Augustine out of service was unwarranted and unjust.

That claimant be paid for all time lost and his record cleared of all charges. Time lost as a result of being held out of service from December 7, 1971 up to and inclusive of December 30, 1971, claiming one day's pay on each of the following dates December 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 1971. In addition I'm claiming one day's pay for work performed by junior officers in senority status on my designated relief days which include the following dates, December 8, 9, 15, 16, 22, 23, 1971. Claiming time and one half for each of these designated relief days, also holiday pay for date of December 25, 1971.

OPINION OF BOARD:

Following a hearing Patrolman Augustine was found guilty of insubordination and assessed discipline of 15 days suspension. He appeals from said discipline contending that it was unwarranted and unjust.

A thorough review of the record before us reveals that nowhere in the handling of the claim on the property were the merits of the dispute ever discussed between the parties. Before this Board can exercise jurisdiction over a claim coming before it, it must be shown that the requirements of the Railway Labor Act have been complied with and Section 3, First (i) thereof provides that disputes "shall be handled in the usual manner up to and including the Chief Operating Officer of the Carrier designated to handle such disputes". Since the merits of the instant claim have not been discussed on the property, the claim has not been handled in accordance with Section 3 First (i) of the Railway Labor Act and therefore it must be dismissed.

Form 1

FINDINGS:

The Fourth Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and all the evidence, finds that:

The carrier and the employes involved in this dispute are respectively carrier and employe within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act, as approved June 21, 1934.

This Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein.

The parties to said dispute were given due notice of hearing thereon.

The parties to said dispute were granted the privilege of appearing before the Division, with the Referee sitting as a member thereof, to present oral argument.

AWARD

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD by Order of the Fourth Division

ATTEST: Executive Secretary

National Railroad Adjustment

Board

Tour

Assistant Executive Secretary