NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 26236

Docket Number MW-26367

Charlotte Gold, Referee

(Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes

PARTIES TO DISPUTE: (

(National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak) - (Northeast Corridor)

STATEMENT OF CLAIM: "Claim of the System Committee of the Brotherhood that:

- 1. The Agreement was violated when Truck Driver L. Holt was not called to perform overtime service on his assigned position (Truck Driver Gang Z-072) on July 8, 1983 (System File NEC-BMWE-SD-742).
- 2. Because of the aforesaid violation, Truck Driver L. Holt shall be allowed six and one-half $(6 \ 1/2)$ hours of pay at his time and one-half rate."

OPINION OF BOARD: This Claim arose when a Foreman drove a truck fran Marcus Hook to Penn Coach Yard and return on Claimant's rest day. The Organization alleges that, in accordance with Rule 55(a), Claimant, a Truck Driver, should have been given preference for overtime work, since he was qualified and available, he was residing near his headquarters, and this was work he ordinarily and customarily performed. It seeks payment at the overtime rate.

Carrier maintains that driving a Carrier-owned truck is not work that accrues exclusively to Truck Drivers. It contends that there is ho provision in the Scope and Work Classification Rule or any other Rule that provides a basis for a Claim of exclusive entitlement. Carrying out an equipment move is normally and customarily handled by Foreman. Further, the Foreman's assignment that day did not involve just the operation of a Carrier truck.

A review of the **Agreement** language in question reveals that the **work** in question is not **work** that accrues solely to Truck Drivers. **The Scope**Section of the Agreement states that **"The** listing of **work** under a given classification is hot intended to assign **work** exclusively to that classification."
At the sane time, there is ho showing that, by practice, this **work** has **been** reserved to Truck Drivers.

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the **Adjustment** Board, **upon** the whole record and all the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway Labor Act as approved June 21, 1934;

 $\,$ That this Division of the Adjustment Board has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein: and

That the Agreement was not violated.

AWARD

Claim denied.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD By Order of Third Division

Attest:

Nancy J. Dever - Executive Secretary

Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 29th day of January 1987.