NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

THIRD DIVISION

Award Number 22629 DocketNumber MS-22380

James F. Scearce, Referee

(Raymond T. Maki

PARTIES TO DISPUTE:

Duluth, Missabe and Iron Range (Railway Company

<u>STATEMENT OF CLAIM</u>: "This is to serve notice, as required by the rules of the National Railrod Adjustment Board of my intention to file an exparte submission on March 7, 1978 covering an unadjusted dispute between meand the DM&IR Ry Coinvolving the question.

My claim is the Carriers denial of not allowing me to exercise my seniority to displace a junior employee Mr. J. Economy Supvr Agent, Missabe Jct - Endion Agency during a Reduction of Forces caused by a strike of the United Steal Workers. This denial caused me to have loss of wages from the peroidfromOct 19 1977 thru Dec 161977, the peroid of my unemployment."

OPINION OF BOARD: During the last **five** months of **1977** carrier's operations wereaffected by a strike. The carrier abolished **numerous** positions because of a generallack of traffic. Claimant was displaced **from his Agent's** position and attempted to displace onto a "Class 2 Position." He was denied the right to displace onto this **position** on the basis that **the** position was an exempt positionwhich "may be filled without regard to seniority rules." Following the denial of the **displacement claimant** filed a claim with the **supervisor** of payroll accounting, appealed the **claim** to the **Division Superintendent and then further appealed the claim to this** Board.

Before the Board the carrier argues that the claim must be dismissed under the provisions of Section 3 first (i) of the Railway Labor Act because (a) it was not at any time appeded to the carrier's highest designated official and (b) the claim was not at any time discussed in conference on the property prior to the initiation of proceedings before the Board. The carrier also argues that the claim is without merit.

The carrier's jurisdictional **arguments** are well **placed**. Either the failure to appeal to the **highest** designated official or the **failure to hold a conference prior to appeal to this Board have been** χ - deemed sufficient to prevent our consideration of *a* case on it8 merits. In this instance the claim will be dismissed. Award Number 22629 Docket Number B-22380

FINDINGS: The Third Division of the Adjustment Board, upon the whole record and **all** the evidence, finds and holds:

That the parties waived oral hearing;

That the Carrier and the **Employes** involved in this dispute are respectively Carrier and **Employes** within the meaning of the Railway **Labor Act**, as approved June **21**, **193**¹⁴;

That this Division of the **Adjustment Board** has jurisdiction over the dispute involved herein; and

That the claim be dismissed.

AWARD

Claim dismissed.

NATIONAL RAILROAD ADJUSTMENT BOARD

By Order of Third Division

ATTEST:

Dated at Chicago, -Illinois, this 9th day of November 1979.

DEC 14 1979